

Central Asian Economic Review

Журнал Қазақстан Республикасының
Ақпарат және коммуникация
министрлігінде тіркелген
ISSN 2224 – 5561



№5-6 (123) 2018
1996 жылдан бастап
шыға бастады

Негізін қалаушы
«Нархоз Университеті» АҚ

«Нархоз Университеті» АҚ Central Asian Economic Review ғылыми-редакциялық кеңесі

Бас редактор
С.А. Святлов — *э.ғ.д., профессор, Директорлар кеңесінің төрағасы, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

Редактордың орынбасары
С.С. Арыстанбаева — *э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

Халықаралық кеңес

Ласло Ланг — *Халықаралық бизнес мектебі, PhD (Будапешт, Венгрия)*

А.В. Сидорович — *Еуразиялық университеттер қауымдастығының атқарушы комитетінің төрағасы, э.ғ.д., профессор (Ресей Федерациясы)*

А.И. Татаркин — *Экономика институтының Урал бөлімшесінің директоры, РГА академигі (Ресей Федерациясы)*

Б. Леонтьев — *Зияткерлік меншікті және бизнесті сертификаттау және бағалау федеральды институты, сертификатталған бағалаушы, э.ғ.д., профессор (Ресей Федерациясы)*

Милен Балтов — *Бургас еркін университеті ректорының ғылыми-зерттеу және халықаралық әріптестік жөніндегі орынбасары, профессор (Болгария)*

Pierre M. Chabal — *профессор, Гавра университеті (Франция)*

Dr. Manuel Fernandez-Grela — *профессор, Сантьяго-де-Компостела университеті (Испания)*

Редакциялық алқа

Қ.Қ. Нұрғалиева — *э.ғ.к., доцент, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

А.М. Сейітқазиева — *э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

Ш.А. Смағұлова — *э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

Ұ.А. Текенов — *э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

С.Ж. Ынтықбаева — *э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

Г.А. Таспенова — *э.ғ.к., доцент, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

Е.А. Абенова — *п.ғ.к., доцент, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

М.Ж. Жарылқасынова — *э.ғ.к., доцент, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

Л.М. Байтенова — *э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ*

М.М. Рысқұлова	–	э.ғ.к., доцент, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
Р. Елшібаев	–	э.ғ.к., доцент, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
Ш.М. Қаңтарбаева	–	э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
К. Молдашев	–	профессор-зерттеуші, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
Е. Өскенбаев	–	профессор-зерттеуші, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
Ф. Аминжонов	–	профессор-зерттеуші, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
Д. Ким	–	профессор-зерттеуші, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
Н. Үсенбаев	–	профессор-зерттеуші, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
А.С. Сейдахметов	–	э.ғ.д., профессор, «Нархоз Университеті» АҚ
Г.Ж. Таяуова	–	э.ғ.к., профессор, «Тұран» Университеті
А.Ж. Сәрсембаева	–	жауапты редактор, «Экономика» баспасы» ЖШС-ның Бас директоры

Central Asian Economic Review

Журнал зарегистрирован в
Министерстве информации и
коммуникаций Республики Казахстан

ISSN 2224 – 5561



№5-6 (123) 2018

Издается с 1996 года

Учредитель
АО «Университет Нархоз»

Редакционный совет Central Asian Economic Review АО «Университет Нархоз»

Главный редактор
С.А. Святков

д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»

Заместитель редактора
С.С. Арыстанбаева

д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»

Международный совет

Ласло Ланг

– *PhD, Международная бизнес-школа (Венгрия, Будапешт)*

А.В. Сидорович

– *д.э.н., профессор, председатель исполкома Евразийской ассоциации университетов (Российская Федерация)*

А.И. Татаркин

– *академик РАН, директор Института экономики Уральского отделения (Российская Федерация)*

Б. Леонтьев

– *д.э.н., профессор, сертифицированный оценщик, Федеральный институт сертификации и оценки интеллектуальной собственности и бизнеса (Российская Федерация)*

Милен Балтов

– *профессор, заместитель ректора по научно-исследовательской деятельности и международному сотрудничеству, Бургасский Свободный университет (Болгария)*

Pierre M. Chabal

– *профессор, Университет Гавра (Франция)*

Dr. Manuel Fernandez-Grela

– *профессор, Университет Сантьяго-де-Компостела (Испания)*

Редакционная коллегия

К.К. Нургалиева

– *к.э.н., доцент, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

А.М. Сейткадиева

– *д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

Ш.А. Смагулова

– *д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

У.А. Текенов

– *д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

С.Ж. Интыкбаева

– *д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

Г.А. Таспенова

– *к.э.н., доцент, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

Е.А. Абенова

– *к.п.н., доцент, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

М.Ж. Жарылкасинова

– *к.э.н., доцент, АО «Университет Нархоз»*

Л.М. Байтенова	–	<i>д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
М.М. Рыскулова	–	<i>к.э.н., доцент, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Р. Елшібаев	–	<i>к.э.н., доцент, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Ш.М. Кантарбаева	–	<i>д.э.н. профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
К. Молдашев	–	<i>профессор-исследователь, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Е. Оскенбаев	–	<i>профессор-исследователь, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Ф. Аминжонов	–	<i>профессор-исследователь, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Д. Ким	–	<i>профессор исследователь, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Н. Усенбаев	–	<i>профессор-исследователь, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
А.С. Сейдахметов	–	<i>д.э.н., профессор, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
А.К. Танкиева	–	<i>к.э.н., доцент, АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Л.А. Байбулекова	–	<i>к.э.н., АО «Университет Нархоз»</i>
Г.Ж. Таяуова	–	<i>к.э.н., профессор, Университет «Туран»</i>
А.Ж. Сарсембаева	–	<i>ответственный редактор, ген. директор ТОО «Издательство «Экономика»</i>

Central Asian Economic Review

This Journal is Registered in the Ministry
of Information and Communication of
The Republic
of Kazakhstan

ISSN 2224 – 5561



Volume 5-6 No. 123, 2018

*The journal has been published
since 1996*

The Founder
JSC «Narxoz University»

Editorial Board **Central Asian Economic Review** **JSC «Narxoz University»**

Chief Editor

S.A. Svyatov – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

Deputy Editor

S.S. Arystanbayeva – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

International Board

Laszlo Lang – *PhD, International Business School (Hungary, Budapest)*

A.V. Sidorovich – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Chairman of the Board of the Eurasian Association
of Universities (Russian Federation)*

A.I. Tatarkin – *Academician of Russian Academy of Science, Director of Economic Institute of Ural Branch
(Russian Federation)*

B. Leontiev – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Certified Appraiser, Federal Institute of Certification
and Appraisal of Intellectual Property and Business (Russian Federation)*

Milen Baltov – *Professor, Vice-Rector of Research and International Cooperation, Burgas Free University
(Bulgaria)*

Pierre M. Chabal – *Professor of political sciences / I.R., Le Havre University (France)*

Dr. Manuel Fernandez-Grela – *Professor, University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain)*

Editorial Board

K.K. Nurgaliyeva – *Candidate of Economic Sciences, JSC «Narxoz University»*

A.M. Seitkazyieva – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

Sh.A. Smagulova – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

U. A. Tekenov – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

S. Zh. Intykbaeva – *Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

G. A. Taspenova – *Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

E. A. Abenova – *Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

M.Zh. Zharylkasinova – *Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»*

L. M. Baitenova	–	<i>Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
M. M. Ryskulova	–	<i>Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
R. Elshibayev	–	<i>Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
Sh. M. Kantarbayeva	–	<i>Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
K. Moldashev	–	<i>Professor Researcher, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
E. Oskembayev	–	<i>Professor Researcher, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
F. Aminzholov	–	<i>Professor Researcher, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
D. Kim	–	<i>Professor Researcher, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
N. Usenbayev	–	<i>Professor Researcher, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
A.S. Seidakhmetov	–	<i>Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, JSC «Narxoz University»</i>
G. Tayauova	–	<i>PhD, Professor, Turan University</i>
A. Zh. Sarsenbayeva	–	<i>Executive editor, Director of "Economics" Publishing house</i>

МАЗМУНЫ
СОДЕРЖАНИЕ

ТЕОРИЯ ЖӘНЕ ӘДІСНАМА
ТЕОРИЯ И МЕТОДОЛОГИЯ

ОЦЕНКА РЕАЛИЗАЦИИ КАРЬЕРНОЙ МОДЕЛИ ГОСУДАРСТВЕННОЙ СЛУЖБЫ В КАЗАХСТАНЕ.....	11
СМАГУЛОВА Ш.А., НАУҚАНБАЙ Л.Н.	
МЕТОДИКА АНАЛИЗА ОБЯЗАТЕЛЬСТВ СТРАХОВЫХ КОМПАНИЙ.....	22
КУЛУСТАЕВА А.М.	
ТЕОРИЯ СТРУКТУРЫ КАПИТАЛА	33
АДАМБЕКОВА А.А., КОКЕЕВА С.Э.	
STATISTICS OF SCIENCE: STATUS, PROBLEMS AND PRO-SPECTS	44
RAKHIMOVA S.A., BELYAEVA G.N.	
ҚАРЖЫЛЫҚ ЕСЕПТІЛІКТІҢ ХАЛЫҚАРАЛЫҚ СТАНДАРТЫНА (16-IFRS) СӘЙКЕС ЛИЗИНГ ЕСЕБІНЕ АРНАЛҒАН ТӘЖІРИБЕЛІК КӨЗҚАРАС	52
НУРКАШЕВА Н.С., АЙДЫНОВ З.П.	
EVALUATION OF ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF PROGRAMS OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN (A CASE OF "EMPLOYMENT ROADMAP – 2020" STATE PROGRAM)	62
DYUSSEMBEKOVA GULSARA, SULTANOVA ZAMZAGUL	
ECONOMIC-MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MAIN FACTORS OF PROVIDING THE COMPETITIVENESS OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY MARKET.....	73
МЕКЕБАҒАЕВА З.Н.А.	

ҚАРЖЫ ЖӘНЕ ТАЛДАУ
ФИНАНСЫ И АНАЛИЗ

REGIONAL ASPECTS OF ESTIMATION OF INVESTMENT ATTRACTIVENESS	84
AMIROVA M.A., KAIDAROVA S.E., SOLTANGAZINOV A.R.	
THE INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND BANK PERFORMANCE: A PILOT STUDY OF US BANKS.....	96
AIGUL KALMETOVA, BALZHAN ZHUSSUPOVA	
БАНКОВСКИЙ СЕКТОР КАЗАХСТАНА: ПРОБЛЕМЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ	108
ДОЛДИНА А.Н.	
ИНВЕСТИЦИОННЫЕ РИСКИ ПОГРАНИЧНЫХ И РАЗВИВАЮЩИХСЯ ФОНДОВЫХ РЫНКОВ	116
АСЫЛБЕКОВ А.П., АСЫЛБЕКОВА Б.С.	
БАНКТІК ТӘУЕКЕЛДЕРДІ БАСҚАРУ ЖӘНЕ БАНКТІК ҚАДАҒАЛАУДЫ ҰЙЫМДАСТЫРУ БОЙЫНША ЖАҢА ТАЛАПТАР	130
АДАМБЕКОВА А.А., ҚАЗБЕКОВА Қ.М.	
ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫНДА ҰЛТТЫҚ ВАЛЮТАНЫ ТҰРАҚТАНДЫРУ СЯСАТЫНЫҢ ТИІМДІЛІГІ.....	146
БАЙШОЛАНОВА К.С., САРТАНОВА Н.Т., ЖАНИБЕКОВА Г.К.	

БИЗНЕС ЖӘНЕ КОРПОРАЦИЯЛЫҚ БАСҚАРУ
БИЗНЕС И КОРПОРАТИВНОЕ УПРАВЛЕНИЕ

ШАҒЫН КӘСІПОРЫННЫҢ ИННОВАЦИЯЛЫҚ ДАМУЫНЫҢ СТРАТЕГИЯЛЫҚ БАҒДАРЛАМАСЫ.....	155
РАХМЕТУЛИНА Ж.Б., АУЕЛБЕКОВА А.К.	
ANALYSIS OF FEATURES AND TYPES OF ECONOMIC STRATEGY, FACTORS OF BUSINESS DIVERSIFICATION.....	163
СHEMIRBAҒАЕВА М.В.	
РОСТ КОНКУРЕНТНЫХ ПРЕИМУЩЕСТВ ПРЕДПРИЯТИЯ В УСЛОВИЯХ РЕАЛИЗАЦИИ ИННОВАЦИОННОГО ПОТЕНЦИАЛА ЭКОНОМИКИ.....	169
БЕРМУХАМЕДОВА Г.Б.	

ҒАЛАМДАНДЫРУ ЖӘНЕ ҚАЗАҚСТАН
ГЛОБАЛИЗАЦИЯ И КАЗАХСТАН

РАЗВИТИЕ СЕЛЬСКИХ ТЕРРИТОРИЙ НА ОСНОВЕ КОНВЕРГЕНЦИИ: ОПЫТ КОРЕИ.....	180
БАЛКИБАЕВА А.М., АЙКУПЕШЕВА Д.М., БУЛХАНРОВА Ж.С.	
TENDENCIES AND FEATURES OF INNOVATIVE POLICY OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES (ON THE EXAMPLE OF THE U.S., JAPAN AND EUROPEAN UNION).....	191
DANA BEKNIYAZOVA	
ЭКОНОМИКАНЫ КЛАСТЕРЛІК ДАМУЫНЫҢ ШЕТЕЛДІК ТӘЖІРИБЕСІ.....	200
МАКЕНОВА Г.У.	
ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ ЖӘНЕ ӘЛЕМ ЕЛДЕРІ БОЙЫНША ДЕМОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ САЯСАТТЫ САЛЫСТЫРМАЛЫ	210
САГИДОЛДА НАГИМА	

ЭКОНОМИКА УСТОЙЧИВОГО РАЗВИТИЯ
ТҰРАҚТЫ ДАМУ ЭКОНОМИКАСЫ

DEVELOPING INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS STRATEGIC APPROACHES FOR AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN MYANMAR	221
ONYUSHEVA I.V., SAN SAN SU	
РОЛЬ СТАНДАРТИЗАЦИИ ЭНЕРГОЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ В ДОСТИЖЕНИИ УСТОЙЧИВОГО РАЗВИТИЯ ЭКОНОМИКИ	231
ЗЕЙНОЛЛА САУЛЕ, ТЛЕПШАЕВ АРСЕН	
SIGNIFICANT ASPECTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF FIRST LEVEL CITY AGGLOMERATIONS IN THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN	243
SANSYZBAYEVA GALIYA, BIBATYROVA IZTILEU, NURGALIEVA KURALAI	
ҚАЗАҚСТАНДА АУЫЛ ШАРУАШЫЛЫҚ КООПЕРАЦИЯСЫНЫҢ ДАМУ ЖОЛДАРЫ.....	255
ЧЕЙРХАНОВА А.	
АЛМАТЫ ОБЛЫСЫ МЫСАЛЫНДА АУЫЛШАРУАШЫЛЫҚ ӨНІМДЕРІН ӨНДІРУ ТИІМДІЛІГІН БАҒАЛАУ	265
ЛУХМАНОВА Г.К., АБАЕВА Г.И., БЕДЕЛБАЕВА А.Е.	
ИНТЕЛЛЕКТУАЛЬНЫЙ ПОТЕНЦИАЛ КАК ФАКТОР ПОВЫШЕНИЯ КОНКУРЕНТОСПОСОБНОСТИ РЕГИОНА	277
СЕЙТКАЗИЕВА А.М., АРЫСТАНБАЕВА С.С., ЖУНИСБЕКОВА Г.Е., ТАЗАБЕКОВА А.Ч.	

ИННОВАЦИОННАЯ ЭКОНОМИКА
ИННОВАЦИАЛЫҚ ЭКОНОМИКА

АГРАРЛЫҚ ҚҰРЫЛЫМДАРДА ИННОВАЦИЯЛЫҚ ҚЫЗМЕТТЕРДІ ҚАЛЫПТАСТЫРУ ЖӘНЕ ДАМУЫ	287
СЕЙТОВА В.Н., ТАМЕНОВА С.С.	
АНАЛИЗ РЫНОЧНОЙ СРЕДЫ ОТРАСЛИ ГРАЖДАНСКОЙ АВИАЦИИ РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН	295
ЧИВАЗОВА А.З., СЕРИКБАЕВА Ж.Д., ДЖАКСЫБЕКОВА Г.Н.	
РЕСТРУКТУРИЗАЦИЯ ЖЕЛЕЗНОДОРОЖНОЙ ИНФРАСТРУКТУРЫ РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН	303
ЧЕРЕЕВА БАХЫТГУЛЬ	
ТЕМІРЖОЛ КӨЛІГІН РЕФОРМАЛАУДЫҢ ШЕТЕЛДІК ТӘЖІРИБЕСІ.....	314
ОСПАНКУЛОВ Е.Е.	
КАЗАХСТАНСКИЙ РЫНОК ВЪЕЗДНОГО ТУРИЗМА: ПРОБЛЕМЫ ЕГО РАЗВИТИЯ	324
АЙМУРЗИНА Б.Т., КАМЕНОВА М.Ж., ОМАРОВА А.Т., ШОКАН Р.	

CONTENT

THEORY AND METHODOLOGY

ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CAREER MODEL OF PUBLIC SERVICE IN KAZAKHSTAN.....	11
SMAGULOVA SHOLPAN, NAUKANBAY LAURA	
METHOD OF ANALYSIS OF OBLIGATIONS OF INSURANCE COMPANIES.....	22
KULUSTAYEVA A.M.	
THE THEORY OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE.....	33
ADAMBEKOVA A.A., KOKEYEVA S.E.	
STATISTICS OF SCIENCE: STATUS, PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS.....	44
RAKHIMOVA S.A., BELYAEVA G.N.	
PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS TO ACCOUNT FOR THE LEASE IN ACCORDANCE WITH IFRS 16.....	52
NURKASHEVA N.S., AIDYNOV Z.P.	
EVALUATION OF ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF PROGRAMS OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN (A CASE OF "EMPLOYMENT ROADMAP – 2020" STATE PROGRAM).....	62
DYUSSEMBEKOVA GULSARA, SULTANOVA ZAMZAGUL	
ECONOMIC-MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MAIN FACTORS OF PROVIDING THE COMPETITIVENESS OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY MARKET.....	73
MEKEBAYEVA ZH.A.	

FINANCE AND ANALYSIS

REGIONAL ASPECTS OF ESTIMATION OF INVESTMENT ATTRACTIVENESS.....	84
AMIROVA M.A., KAIDAROVA S.E., SOLTANGAZINOV A.R.	
THE INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND BANK PERFORMANCE: A PILOT STUDY OF US BANKS.....	96
AIGUL KALMETOVA, BALZHAN ZHUSSUPOVA	
THE BANKING SECTOR OF KAZAKHSTAN: PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS.....	108
DOLDINA A.N.	
INVESTMENT RISKS OF FRONTIER AND EMERGING STOCK MARKETS.....	116
ASSYLBEKOV A.P., ASSYLBEKOVA B.S.	
NEW REQUIREMENTS FOR BANKING RISK MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATION OF BANKING SUPERVISION.....	130
ADAMBEKOVA A.A., KAZBEKOVA K.M.	
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE POLICY OF STABILIZATION OF THE NATIONAL CURRENCY IN THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN.....	146
BAISHOLANOVA K.S., SARTANOVA N.T., ZHANIBEKOVA G.K.	

BUSINESS AND CORPORATE MANAGEMENT

STRATEGIC PROGRAM OF INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL ENTERPRISE.....	155
RAKHMETULINA ZH.B., AUELBEKOVA A.K.	
ANALYSIS OF FEATURES AND TYPES OF ECONOMIC STRATEGY, FACTORS OF BUSINESS DIVERSIFICATION.....	163
CHEMIRBAYEVA M.B.	
GROWTH OF COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES OF THE ENTERPRISE IN THE CONDITIONS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF INNOVATIVE POTENTIAL OF ECONOMY.....	169
BERMUKHAMEDOVA G.B.	

GLOBALIZATION AND KAZAKHSTAN

RURAL DEVELOPMENT ON THE BASE OF CONVERGENCY: KOREAN EXPERIENCE.....	180
BALKIBAYEVA A., AIKUPESHEVA D.M., BULKHAIROVA ZH.	

TENDENCIES AND FEATURES OF INNOVATIVE POLICY OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES (ON THE EXAMPLE OF THE U.S., JAPAN AND EUROPEAN UNION).....	191
DANA BEKNIYAZOVA	
FOREIGN EXPERIENCE OF THE CLUSTER ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT.....	200
MAKENOVA G.	
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DEMOGRAPHIC POLICY OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN AND FOREIGN COUNTRIES.....	210
SAGIDOLDA NAGIMA	

THE ECONOMY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

DEVELOPING INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS STRATEGIC APPROACHES FOR AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN MYANMAR.....	221
ONYUSHEVA I.V., SAN SAN SU	
THE ROLE OF STANDARDIZATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.....	231
ZEINOLLA SAULE, TLEPPAYEV ARSEN	
SIGNIFICANT ASPECTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF FIRST LEVEL CITY AGGLOMERATIONS IN THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN.....	243
SANSYZBAYEVA GALIYA, BIBATYROVA IZTILEU, NURGALIEVA KURALAI	
WAYS OF AGRICULTURAL COOPERATION DEVELOPMENT IN KAZAKHSTAN.....	255
CHEIRKHAANOVA A.	
EVALUATION OF THE EFFICIENCY OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION ON THE EXAMPLE OF ALMATY REGION.....	265
LUKHMANOVA G.K., ABAEYVA G.I., BEDEL BAYEVA A.E.	
INTELLECTUAL POTENTIAL AS A KEY FACTOR OF THE REGION'S COMPETITIVENESS.....	277
SEITKAZIYEVA A.M., ARYSTANBAYEVA S.S., ZHUNISBEKOVA G.E., TAZABEKOVA A.CH.	

INNOVATIVE ECONOMY

THE FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF INNOVATION IN THE AGRARIAN SECTOR.....	287
SEYTOVA V.N., TAMENOVA S.S.	
ANALYSIS THE MARKET ENVIRONMENT OF CIVIL AVIATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN.....	295
CHIVAZOVA A.Z., SERIKBAYEVA ZH.D., JAXYBEKOVA G.N.	
RESTRUCTURING OF RAILWAY INFRASTRUCTURE OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN.....	303
CHEREYEVA BAKHITGUL	
FOREIGN EXPERIENCE IN REFORMING RAILWAY TRANSPORT.....	314
OSPANKULOV E.E.	
KAZAKHSTAN MARKET OF ENTRANCE TOURISM: THE PROBLEMS OF ITS DEVELOPMENT.....	324
AIMURZINA B.T., KAMENOVA M. ZH., OMAROVA A.T., SHOKHAN R.	

UDC 65.290

JEL classification: O30

**TENDENCIES AND FEATURES OF INNOVATIVE POLICY OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES (ON
THE EXAMPLE OF THE U.S., JAPAN AND EUROPEAN UNION)**

Dana Bekniyazova,
PhD, Associated Professor
Innovative University of Eurasia
Pavlodar, The Republic of Kazakhstan

ABSTRACT

The research shows the tendencies in strengthening state regulation of innovative activity, which are visible in developing innovative systems of several countries (the U.S., Japan and European Union). The paper reviews the features of innovative policy in the U.S., contributing to its activation. The author reveals also the features of innovative policy in Japan, covering the efforts of the state and business in achieving the best results of innovative activity.

It is reported the features of main public regulation measures of innovative activity in the EU member-states, which successfully develop national and regional innovative systems too (Germany, United Kingdom and France). The research presents several recommendations regarding the possible consideration of tendencies in improving regulation measures of innovative activity, created as a result of the research.

Purpose – to assess the features and tendencies of strengthening of innovative policy of foreign countries (on the example of the U.S., Japan and the European Union) for possible its consideration in improving regulation measures of innovative activity in the Republic of Kazakhstan.

Methodology – synthesis, content-analyze, accommodation, monographic method, factor analysis, economic-statistical research method.

Originality/value – in countries that have achieved significant results in the innovative development, along with the direct methods of state regulation, the most important place that takes financing, and also are taken the measures for the diffusion of innovations with an emphasis on stimulating methods. One of the problems to be solved in close combination of these methods is the development of innovative cooperation.

Findings – globalization and regionalization, the growth of high-end technologies, the limited resources of domestic subjects of innovative activity lead to the fact that innovative cooperation has the significant potential for activation of innovative activity, including at the regional level, and the degree of intensity of its use within state regulation of innovative activity is increasing rapidly. This is evidenced by the experience of the EU, U.S. and Japan.

Keywords – state regulation, innovative activity, management by innovations, regional economy, international scientific and technical cooperation

INTRODUCTION

In current competition in the high-end markets benefit countries, regions, companies that not only have the potential for innovation, but also intelligently use it, i.e. Research and Development (R&D) results are converted into competitive products. High-tech production is increasingly formed around the so-called global value chains, the development of which in the last two decades, significantly transforming the character of the world economy.

One of characteristic of the latter becomes a specialization of enterprises and industries of separate countries on specific “link” these chains, in other words, their entry into the interethnic industrial vertical integration. Value added chains include such steps as stage design, production, marketing, sales and after-sales service. Previously, the companies, the states are trying to build them independently, on their territory. However, they

are distributed more often among different companies, concentrated in the separate countries and groups of countries. In this regard, it is increased the share of goods and services, that has the global character. And states become participants of vertical integration compete not only for the production of high-tech products, as for the most favorable places in global value chains [1]. The innovative sector of the world economy is becoming global in its content.

The specific impact of globalization and regionalization processes is shown in the international scientific and technical cooperation. The most important tendency in recent decades has been the development of scientific and technical relations between the countries and their regions, there is the internationalization of R&D and high-tech industry.

The realization of major scientific-research projects due to their complexity, duration and high cost becomes not always possible within the framework of one state [2]. Developments started in one region, often in one or another form adapted and successfully implemented in other regions. The expansion of international integration and cooperation in this sphere is becoming for many industrialized countries the most important strategic growth model [3].

The country's leaders of innovative development have accumulated considerable experience in state regulation of innovation activity. This applies to both developed and newly industrialized countries. In this regard, priority is to research the tendencies and comparison of states experience entered the technological kernel of the world - United States, Japan, European Union [4].

In the development of these innovative systems and possibly other countries it is possible to trace a tendency of strengthening of direct regulation of innovative activity (budget financing, the state assignments, administrative methods and legal methods). At the same time important task of state regulation in the leading countries of innovative development is to create favorable conditions for innovative activity.

It is possible to allocate the following main measures applied in the world within the corresponding innovative policy [5]:

- budget financing of innovative programs and projects (the state's share in the total expenditures on science up to 50%;
- property support innovators and investors;
- tax incentives for promotion of R&D spending and attraction to innovative activity both large, small and medium-sized enterprises;
- formation of the elements of innovative infrastructure at the national and regional levels;
- regulation of internal and external innovative cooperation of subjects of innovative activity and separate sectors of the national innovation system.

In recent decades, high positions in the rankings, encouraged to assess the development of innovative activity, firmly held the U.S., which was accompanied by the evolution of the innovative system of the state. In the past twenty years, the degree of state regulation of innovative activity has increased significantly. Most of the innovations developed within public-private partnership.

The author identifies a number of key directions of innovative policy in the United States of America, promoting to activation of innovative activity (Table 1).

Table 1. Features of directions of the U.S. innovative policy, promoting to activation of innovative activity

Features	Characteristic
The concentration of fundamental and applied R&D in universities	Universities, in addition to owning own considerable resources, carry out R&D, financing by the government, involve the possibilities of technology transfer through venture financing organizations.
The developed system of other research organizations	There are government laboratories, big institutions that specialize in separate applied researches, as well as "factories of thoughts" - research centers bring together experts' efforts to develop specific scientific problems.
The activity of innovative clusters and technology parks	They are designed to stimulate scientific-research organizations and business to the development and commercialization of innovations. It is directed to concentration in separate territory specialized and connected by a technological chain: a) organizations carrying out R&D; b) the high-tech industry; c) providers.

Legislative initiatives	Increasing the commercial importance of research carried out at universities and public-scientific research organizations. In 1980, the Bai-Dole's law was adopted, directed to stimulating of developers to commercialize innovations, the construction of businesses and sale of licenses.
Federal programs directed to assistance to financing of R&D companies (partly financed from the federal budget on the scientific-research activity)	Government programs directed to promotion of R&D financing, carrying out by the enterprises (since 1950). The three main programs within which the financing of small innovative enterprises are: a) the program of companies' creation for investment of small business; b) the program of technologies transfer of small business, directed to expansion of opportunities of R&D state financing and creation of joint enterprises on the basis of small businesses and non-profit research institutes; c) the program of innovative researches of small enterprises, directed to enhancing the role of small innovative enterprises in the state-financing of R&D, creating incentives for the participation of small enterprises which have the commercial potential of researches (through grants), in general, for the technological development of small business.
Venture financing	Recognition of the importance of venture capital for activation of innovative activity is reflected in the fact that stimulating activity of venture capital companies operating since 1950. In scientific literature expressed the point of view according to which growth in the field of venture capital relates to development of the stock markets.
The development of international cooperation in innovative activity	Due to the growth in recent years, the importance of tasks of science and technique at international level, as well as the resulting increase in the activity of participation of the private and public sector in the international scientific and technical cooperation National Science Council (NSC) in the process of the development of strategic documents as one of the most important challenges, facing the U.S., identified the solution to the problems of scientific and technological activity in the international aspect. NSC has formed a special commission on international problems of scientific and technical activity to assess their current role and the needs they create, and postulated the need to create strategies of productive connections' support between research objectives of domestic and foreign policies. According to the formed recommendations for the government should intensify cooperation programs connected with the assessment and financing of international scientific and technical projects; NSF should intensify efforts to stimulate the potential of innovators to obtain within research grants additional financing for attraction of foreign partners from developing countries, to promote the activity of all directorates of NSF in development of special plans and programs for support of international scientific and technical cooperation and the further diffusion of information about them to target audiences. Federal agencies must continuously have own budgets and estimation mechanisms intended for the purposes of realization of the international scientific-technical projects and programs.
Note - developed by the author based on sources [6, 7, 8]	

These state regulation tendencies create opportunities to attract leading experts, achieve leading positions across a wide range of scientific fields. Thus, in the innovative activity of the U.S. the role of state regulation is significant, which is reflected both in direct and in indirect measures. At the junction of these two types of measures significant attention paid to the development of public-private partnership, cooperation of scientific-research institutions and business, as well as international cooperation in innovative activity. The tendency of activation of the last is observed. As a result, the subjects of innovative activity extend the opportunities of the commercialization of R&D results [9].

Results of innovative development of Japan attract attention of researchers of problems of innovative activity. Since the 1990s in national research system of Japan there have been significant structural changes [10]:

1. Increased influence of public authorities, which competence includes questions of a higher level innovative policy, therefore degree of centralization of state regulation of innovative activity generally increased.

2. Influence of state bodies within which competence questions of innovative policy of the highest level therefore degree of centrality of state regulation of innovative activities in general raised are increased.

3. In the mid-1990s it was the beginning of the regular approval of plans of science and technology development up to five years as method of mobilizing the innovative potential of the country, which had a

significant influence on the formation of innovative policy. Among postulated in them an integral element of corresponding policy was the need to high costs for R&D of the state with assignment of the leading role to the state to stimulate fundamental research. Important characteristics of fundamental research, in turn, should be diversified and multi-disciplinary character.

4. It is increased the importance of the tasks of promotion of innovative processes of private enterprises in the system of state regulation of innovative activity. Distribution was gained by initiatives in the field of the budget and tax policy, support of venture entrepreneurship, public procurements of innovative products, the legal sphere.

5. The system of views on the development of innovative cooperation of the authorities and business, science and business in order to improve the effectiveness of innovative activity has been recognized in the innovative policy. It should be noted that similar tendencies may be traced and at the corporate level. These changes can be attributed to the field of R&D, where the prevailing before implementation of innovative activity from own expenses of the enterprises is characterized by a slight decrease of these expenses, as well as expansion of cooperation with domestic and foreign subjects of innovative activity, merging with them. In other words, the dominant emerging paradigm is shift of accent from of constant R&D implementation by own resources to the involvement of the greatest possible part of the spectrum of potential resources of innovative activity (including R&D outsourcing). In the process of placing industrial and other subdivisions abroad, in particular, there is a tendency of R&D implementation in other countries, the purpose of which is to achieve a synergy effect. In comparison with the previously used data strategies are characterized by a significantly higher degree of initiative. In addition, it traces the development of international practices.

It should be noted that the transformation of Japan's innovation system is the integral part of its regional development strategy. In addition, significant in this context is the progressive and relatively new tendency - the signing of international agreements on scientific and technical cooperation at the regional level. Earlier, the state also implemented measures for the development of international innovative cooperation, signing appropriate agreements.

Research of the experience of state regulation in Japan allows formulating a number of generalizations and conclusions that can be interesting and useful for other countries. Although the positioning of market competition as the basic factor of activization of innovative processes in Japan it is recognized that the promotion of innovative activity is the most important task of the state. In Japan it is dominated the integration process, which allows to combine the development of foreign and domestic technology through the realization of direct state control measures for encouragement of innovative activity. In addition, the significant component of the above-mentioned process is innovative cooperation, creating opportunities for more competitive advantages, resources, synergies. In the innovative activity of Japan, it is observed tendencies in the development of public-private partnership, inter-firm cooperation and international cooperation, the important manifestation of which is intensification of efforts to develop innovative international cooperation, including at the regional level.

The research of works of A. Belov, V.A. Zuckerman (Belova, 2012; Zuckerman, 2008) and other scientific literature allows to suggest that the extensive experience in the field of state regulation of innovative activity, including international innovative cooperation, has the European Union as the largest economic and political union, aimed at regional integration, and the EU countries are in the forefront of innovative development [11,12].

The programs of promotion of innovative activity of Western European countries the development of international cooperation is recognized more than two decades. In scales of the EU the leading positions in the field of development cooperation in the innovative activity belong to the UK, Germany, France and the Nordic countries. Key initiatives of the EU program documents intended to turn R&D results in innovative products and services in order to ensure the competitiveness of the EU, which also means an increase in R&D financing in Europe, strengthening of international innovative cooperation (Table 2).

Features of measures of state regulation of innovative activity in the EU countries, which were successfully developing national and regional innovative systems (on the example of Germany, United Kingdom and France), are presented in table 2.

Table 2 – Features of measures of state regulation of innovative activity in the EU countries (on the example of Germany, United Kingdom and France)

Measures	Country	Description	Note
Financial	Germany	Large-scale public financing of innovative activity	It reaches 80% in universities. It is the largest measure of support
		Public financing of risk and long-term R&D	Objectives: to attract private investors in projects, to support private investors projects
	United Kingdom	State assignments, programs of financing.	-
	France	The possibility for universities and scientific-research organizations to create commercial innovative enterprises and to participate in them	-
		Support of developers whose copyrights inventions received commercial diffusion	-
Tax preferences	Germany	Tax preferences for venture companies	The law on support of venture capital investments defines the legal bases of their activity
		Creation of support funds	-
	United Kingdom	Tax deductions for income tax in the case of exceeding of established cost of innovative activity	Tax preferences were introduced for small and medium, and later, for large enterprises
		The accelerated amortization for the established fixed assets	-
	France	Tax incentives for enterprises carried out R&D and patenting developments	Development of the Research Code to combine normative and legal acts in the field of innovative activity
Informational support	Germany	Systemic spread of information about innovative activity, the formation of information networks	Including with the participation of patent services
	United Kingdom	The development an active spread of information documents explaining the opportunities of state support available to businesses, carrying out innovative activity	It increases the availability and effectiveness of innovative activity's regulation, activates the cooperation between government and business
		Development of business documents for innovative activity	-
	France	The development of systems of innovative activity's information supporting at the regional level	-
Development of innovative infrastructure	Germany	Creation in all regions of patent-information centers providing consultations in the field of innovative activity	Assistance in establishing partnerships, science-business; assist in the commercialization of patented objects in the country and abroad; control over compliance with the terms of contracts
		Formation of innovation networks participating in international cooperation	-
		The development of science and technology parks	-
	United Kingdom	Creation and support of the companies, university and other centers of services in the field of innovative activity	It includes provision of places and equipment, finding developers and investors
	France	Support of patenting	-
		Active development of clusters	It includes choice of priorities, realization of programs, creation of conditions
Promotion of innovative cooperation	Germany	Attraction of foreign capital in the innovative sphere. Promotion to the internationalization of the scientific-research landscape of the country, increase the attractiveness of the domestic research institutions for external partners. Active creation of technology transfer centers, innovative centers in the regions and their integration into the network	-
	United Kingdom		
	France		
Note - developed by the author based on sources [13, p. 65-6; 14, 15, 16]			

The mechanisms, by which the regional authorities are involving the innovations, have specifics in each country, but there are and general tendencies. The results of the research of the EU experience allow concluding that there is a focus of EU innovative policy in the stimulation of innovative cooperation, which is perhaps more important innovative program in comparison with the financing of innovative projects.

In the regulation which founded the EU's "Horizon 2020" program, noted that the aim of the EU is to strengthen scientific and technological potential through the formation of the European Research Area, in which is freely extended scientific knowledge and technologies, and also through the EU support to the promotion to the knowledge society and creating a more competitive and sustainable industry and the economy as a whole, as well as the fact that to achieve this goal is necessary to implement measures for R&D and innovation realization, to strengthen international cooperation, diffusion and optimization of results, stimulation of training and mobility [17].

Thus, in Europe, activization of international cooperation in innovative activity is carried out continuously for nearly three decades. The considered measures of state regulation are closely related, their action is based on the principle of complementarity in order to achieve the best results of innovative development. It may be noted that the significant number of measures proposes pooling the efforts of subjects of innovative activity, including different countries on the basis of international projects that oriented on achieving maximum efficiency of R&D [18].

In general, the EU countries are characterized by the formation of the three-level innovative policy, including regional, national and supra-national components. The governments of the countries possess a priority in the field fundamental research, training of specialists, and the regions are increasingly carried out a policy of diffusion of innovations. The example of this direction of development of the regional component of the innovative policy is broad participation of certain regions of the UK in EU innovative programs, as well as the development and realization of regional strategies for innovative development of their own territories. Innovative cooperation allows using operational and financial resource, the competitive advantages of companies in other countries, promotes increasing the productivity of labor and the development of capital-intensive products, allowing to realize large projects, which is extremely difficult without synergies.

CONCLUSION

It should be noted that in countries that have achieved significant results in the innovative development, along with the direct methods of state regulation, the most important place that takes financing, and also are taken the measures for the diffusion of innovations with an emphasis on stimulating methods. One of the problems to be solved in close combination of these methods is the development of innovative cooperation. Globalization and regionalization, the growth of high-end technologies, the limited resources of domestic subjects of innovative activity lead to the fact that innovative cooperation has the significant potential for activization of innovative activity, including at the regional level, and the degree of intensity of its use within state regulation of innovative activity is increasing rapidly. This is evidenced by the experience of the EU, U.S. and Japan.

As a result, regional authorities and managing strengthen economic relationships with other countries interested in cooperation with subjects of innovative activity. At the same time, regional tasks are solved through close cooperation between federal and regional authorities and administration, because the latter are better known economic, technical and social needs of the regions. And the degree of convergence of the three levels of formation of regional innovative policy (regional policy, regional components of the federal and the transnational policy) recently tends to increase.

REFERENCES

- 1 Кондратьев В.Б. Глобальные цепочки добавленной стоимости в современной экономике. Сетевое издание Центра исследований и аналитики Фонда исторической перспективы «Перспективы» [Электрон. ресурс] – 2018. – URL: http://www.perspektivy.info/oykumena/ekdom/globalnyje_cepochki_dobavlennoj_stoimosti_v_sovremennoj_ekonomike_2014-03-17.htm (дата обращения: 10.09.2018)

- 2 Задумкин К.А., Теребова С.В. Международное научно-техническое сотрудничество: сущность, содержание и формы // Проблемы развития территории. - 2009. - № 1. - С. 22-30.
- 3 Наклонов Д.Н. Инновационное развитие государства в условиях глобализации // Креативная экономика. - 2008. - № 6. - С. 40-46.
- 4 Ключкова Н.В., Бердичевская В.О. Развитие инновационной деятельности: обобщение зарубежного опыта / Н. В. Ключкова, // Вестник Ивановского государственного энергетического университета. - 2012. - № 1. - С. 78-82.
- 5 Мантаева Э.И., Слободчикова И.В., Цеденов А.В. Компаративный анализ основных мероприятий национальной и региональной поддержки инновационной деятельности в России и зарубежных странах // Управление экономическими системами: электронный научный журнал. - 2011. - № 36. - С. 27.
- 6 EUREKA. Doing Business through Technology // 25 Year Country Overviews. 1985 - 2011. - Brussels: EUREKA. - 2011. - URL: http://www.tubitak.gov.tr/tubitak_content_files/EUREKA/bilgi_gunleri/1-EUREKAEurostarsProgramme.pdf (accessed: 21.08.2018)
- 7 MSTI databases. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2016. Main Science and Technology indicators. - 2016. - URL: <http://stats.oecd.org/> (accessed: 24.09.2018)
- 8 Eurostat 2015: Innovation Statistics. Statistics Explained. - 2016. - URL: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Innovation_statistics (accessed: 18.09.2018)
- 9 Рыхтик М.И., Корсунская Е.В. Национальная инновационная система США: история формирования, политическая практика, стратегии развития // Вестник Нижегородского университета им. Н.И. Лобачевского. - 2012. - № 6-1. - С. 263-268.
- 10 Edgington D.W. The Japanese Innovation System: University-Industry Linkages, Small Firms and Regional Technology Clusters // Prometheus. - 2008. - Vol. 26. - №1. - P. 19.
- 11 Europe 2020: Europe's growth strategy. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 12 p. - 2013. - URL: http://ec.europa.eu/europe2020/pdf/europe_2020_explained.pdf (accessed: 24.08.2018)
- 12 Цукерман В.А. Использование мирового опыта реализации программ инновационного развития для экономики Севера // Север и рынок. - 2008. - № 1. - С. 84-88.
- 13 Artis M., Nixson F. The Economics of the European Union: Policy and Analysis. - 4th ed. England: Oxford University Press, 2007. - 565 p.
- 14 Калягин В.О., Наумов В.Б., Никифорова Т.С. Опыт Европы, США и Индии в сфере государственной поддержки инноваций // Российский юридический журнал. - 2011. - № 1. - С. 171-183.
- 15 Королев В.И. Инновационные территориальные кластеры: зарубежный опыт и российские условия // Российский внешнеэкономический вестник. - 2013. - № 11. - С. 20-27.
- 16 Filatov V.V. Foreign experience of state regulation of innovative activity // Innovative Management: Theory, methodology, practice. - 2012. - № 1. - P. 206-213.
- 17 Навроцкая Н.А., Сопилко Н.Ю. Трансформация инвестиционно-производственного пространства как условие экономической интеграции // Вопросы региональной экономики. - 2013. - № 2. - С. 63-69.
- 18 Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology – MERIT 2016. European Innovation Scoreboard 2016. Maastricht University. - 2017. - URL: http://www.knowledgetransferireland.com/About_KTI/Reports-Publications/European-Innovation-Scoreboard-2016.pdf (accessed: 14.08.2018)

REFERENCES

- 1 Kondratiev, V. B. (2018), "Global'nye cepochki doblavlennoi stoimosti v sovremennoi ekonomike": setevoye izdanie Centra issledovaniy i analitiki Fonda istoricheskoy perspektivy "Perspektivy", available at: http://www.perspektivy.info/oykumena/ekdom/globalnyje_cepochki_dobavlennoj_stoimosti_v_sovremennoj_ekonomike_2014-03-17.htm (Accessed September, 09, 2018) (In Russian)
- 2 Zadumkin, K.A., Terebova, S. V. Mezhdunarodnoe nauchno-tehnicheskoe sotrudnichestvo: sushchost', sodержание i formy // Problemy razvitiya territorii. - 2009. - № 1. - S. 22-30 (In Russian)

- 3 Naklonov, D. N. Inovacionnoe razvitie gosudarstva v usloviyakh globalizacii // Креативная экономика. - 2008. - № 6. - С. 40-46 (In Russian)
- 4 Klochko, N. V., Berdichevskaya, V. O. Razvitie innovacionnoi deyatel'nosti: obobshchenie zarubezhnogo opyta // Vestnik Ivanovskogo gosudarstvennogo energeticheskogo universiteta. - 2012. - № 1. - С. 78-82 (In Russian)
- 5 Mantayeva, E. I., Slobodchikova, I. V., Cedenov, A. V. Komparativnyi analiz osnovnykh meropriyatiy nacional'noi i regional'noi podderzhki innovacionnoi deyatel'nosti v Rossii i zarubezhnykh stranah // Upravlenie ekonomicheskimi sistemami: elektronnyi nauchnyi zhurnal. - 2011. - № 36. - С. 27 (In Russian)
- 6 EUREKA. Doing Business through Technology (2011), 25 Year Country Overviews. 1985 - 2011. - Brussels: EUREKA, available at: http://www.tubitak.gov.tr/tubitak_content_files/EUREKA/bilgi_gunleri/1-EUREKAEurostarsProgramme.pdf (Accessed August, 21, 2018)
- 7 MSTI databases (2017), Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2016. Main Science and Technology indicators, available at: <http://stats.oecd.org/> (Accessed September, 24, 2018)
- 8 Eurostat 2015: Innovation Statistics. Statistics Explained (2016), available at: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Innovation_statistics (Accessed September, 18, 2018)
- 9 Rykhtik, M. I., Korsunskaya, E. V. Nacional'naya innovacionnaya sistema SSCHA: istoriya formirovaniya, politicheskaya praktika, strategiya razvitiya // Vestnik Nizhegorodskogo universiteta im. N.I. Lobachevskogo. - 2012. - № 6-1. - С. 263-268 (In Russian)
- 10 Edgington, D. W. (2008) The Japanese Innovation System: University-Industry Linkages, Small Firms and Regional Technology Clusters // Prometheus. - Vol. 26. - №1. - P. 19.
- 11 Europe 2020: Europe's growth strategy (2013), Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2013. - 12 p., available at: http://ec.europa.eu/europe2020/pdf/europe_2020_explained.pdf (Accessed August, 24, 2018)
- 12 Zuckerman, V. A. Ispol'zovanie mirovogo opyta realizacii programm innovacionnogo razvitiya dlya ekonomiki Severa // Sever i rynek. - 2008. - № 1. - С. 84-88 (In Russian)
- 13 Artis, M., Nixon, F. (2007), "The Economics of the European Union: Policy and Analysis". - 4th ed. England: Oxford University Press, 565 p.
- 14 Kalyagin, V. O., Naumov, V. B., Nikiforova, T. S. Opyt Evropy, SSCHA i Indii v sphere gosudarstvennoi podderzhki innovatsiy // Rossiyskiy yuridicheskiy zhurnal. - 2011. - № 1. - С. 171-183 (In Russian)
- 15 Korolev, V. I. Innovatsionnye territorial'nye klasteri: zarubezhnyi opyt i rossiyskie usloviya // Rossiyskiy vneshneekonomicheskii vestnik. - 2013. - № 11. - С. 20-27 (In Russian)
- 16 Filatov, V. V. Foreign experience of state regulation of innovative activity // Innovative Management: Theory, methodology, practice. - 2012. - № 1. - P. 206-213 (In Russian)
- 17 Navrotskaya, N. A., Sopilko, N. Yu. Transformatsiya investitsionno-proizvodstvennogo prostranstva kak uslovie ekonomicheskoi integratsii // Voprosy regional'noi ekonomiki. - 2013. - № 2. - С. 63-69 (In Russian)
- 18 Maastricht Economic and Social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology – MERIT 2016 (2017), European Innovation Scoreboard 2016. Maastricht University, available at: http://www.knowledgetransferireland.com/About_KTI/Reports-Publications/European-Innovation-Scoreboard-2016.pdf (Accessed August, 14, 2018)

РЕЗЮМЕ

Глобализация и регионализация, рост наукоемкости технологий, ограниченность ресурсов отечественных субъектов инновационной деятельности приводят к тому, что инновационная кооперация обладает существенным потенциалом активизации инновационной деятельности, в том числе на региональном уровне, и степень интенсивности ее использования в рамках государственного регулирования инновационной деятельности стремительно возрастает. Об этом свидетельствует опыт ЕС, США, Японии. В связи с этим в статье проведена оценка особенностей и тенденций усиления государственного

регулирования инновационной деятельности в развитых странах (на примере США, Японии и Европейского Союза) для возможного их учета при совершенствовании мер регулирования инновационной деятельности в Республике Казахстан.

ТҮЙІН

Жаһандану мен аймақтандыру, технологиялардың ғылымды қажетсінуінің өсуі, инновациялық қызметтің отандық субъектілерінің ресурстарының шектеулігі мынаған әкеп соғады: инновациялық кооперация инновациялық қызметтің белсенділігінің біршама потенциалына ие болады, сонымен қатар аймақтық деңгейде және инновациялық қызметтің мемлекеттік реттеу шеңберінде оны қолданудың қарқындылығының деңгейі өсіп келеді. Бұл туралы ЕК, АҚШ, Жапония елдерінің тәжірибесінен білуге болады. Осыған байланысты мақалада Қазақстан Республикасындағы инновациялық қызметті реттеу шараларын жетілдіру кезінде оларды есепке алу үшін дамыған елдердегі (АҚШ, Еуропалық Кеңес, Жапония) инновациялық қызметті мемлекеттік реттеудің күшейі тенденциясы мен ерекшеліктерінің бағасы жүргізілген.

Central Asian Economic Review №5-6 (123) 2018 ж.

Жазылатын индекс / – 74002

Редакторлары / Редакторы – *А.Ж. Сарсембаева*
Компьютерде беттеген: – *М.С. Нусупалиева.*

Басуға / Подписано к печати

Пішімі / Формат 70×100^{1/8}.

Көлемі 41,8 б.т. / Шартты 39,0 б.т. Есептік 31,8 б.т.

Таралымы / Тираж 300 дана /экз.

«Фортуна полиграф» баспасы» / ТОО «Издательство «Фортуна полиграф»
050063, Алматы қаласы, 1-ықшам ауданы, 81-үй / 050063, г. Алматы, 1-й микрорайон, д. 81.

Fpolygraf@bk.ru

Тел: +7 701 787 32 92, +7 701 906 26 29